

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/586,249	LINDHOLM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Motilewa Good-Johnson	2628	

All Participants:

(1) Motilewa Good-Johnson.

(2) Kevin Zilka.

Status of Application: non-final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
45, 48, 49, 52 and 53


Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative agreed to Examiner's amendment to overcome 101 rejection

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)